

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

AT BECKLEY

ROBERT Z. WHIPPLE, III,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:24-cv-00040

BUREAU OF PRISONS,

Defendant.

ORDER

Pending is Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss, [Doc. 18], filed on May 23, 2024. This action was previously referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation (“PF&R”). [Doc. 3]. Plaintiff responded on May 30, 2024, [Doc. 21], and Defendant replied on June 10, 2024. [Doc. 22]. On October 9, 2024, Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn filed his PF&R, [Doc. 23], recommending that the Court grant Defendant’s motion and remove this matter from the Court’s docket.

The Court need not review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (“A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made.*” (emphasis added)). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Plaintiff’s right to appeal the Court’s order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also United States v. De Leon-Ramirez*, 925 F.3d 177, 181 (4th Cir. 2019) (Parties may not typically “appeal a magistrate judge’s


findings that were not objected to below, as § 636(b) doesn't require de novo review absent objection."); *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989). Further, the Court need not conduct de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections in this case were due on October 23, 2024. No objections were filed.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the PF&R [**Doc. 23**], **GRANTS** Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [**Doc. 18**], and **DISMISSES** the matter.

The Court directs the Clerk to transmit a copy of this Order to any counsel of record and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: November 7, 2024




Frank W. Volk
Chief United States District Judge